TECHNICAL REPORT UCED 2008/09-14

# The Impact of the Local Health Care System on the Humboldt County Economy



UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

This publication, The Impact of the Local Health Care System on the Humboldt County Economy, was published by the University Center for Economic Development in the Department of Resource Economics at the University of Nevada, Reno. Funds for this publication were provided by the United States Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration under University Center program contract #07-66-05671-02. Additional funds were provided by the Medicare Rural Hospital Flexibility Program and the Federal Office of Rural Health Policy. This publication's statements, findings, conclusions, recommendations, and/or data represent solely the findings and views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Medicare Rural Hospital Flexibility Program, the Federal Office of Rural Health Policy, U.S. Department of Commerce, the Economic Development Administration, University of Nevada, Reno, or any reference sources used or quoted by this study. Reference to research projects, programs, books, magazines, or newspaper articles does not imply an endorsement or recommendation by the authors unless otherwise stated. Correspondence regarding this document should be sent to:

Thomas R. Harris, Director
University Center for Economic Development
University of Nevada, Reno
Department of Resource Economics
Mail Stop 204
Reno, Nevada 89557-0105

Phone: 775/784-6499



UCED
University of Nevada, Reno
Nevada Cooperative Extension
Department of Resource Economics

# The Impact of the Local Health Care System on the Humboldt County Economy

John Packham Elizabeth Fadali and Thomas R. Harris

John Packham is the Director of Health Policy Research, University of Nevada School of Medicine.

Elizabeth Fadali is a Research Associate in the University Center for Economic Development and Department of Resource Economics at the University of Nevada, Reno.

Thomas R. Harris is a Professor in the Department of Resource Economics and Director of the University Center for Economic Development at the University of Nevada, Reno.

March 2009

The University of Nevada, Reno is an equal opportunity, affirmative action employer and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, age, creed, national origin, veteran status, physical or mental disability or sexual orientation in any program or activity it operates. The University of Nevada employs only United States citizens and aliens lawfully authorized to work in the United States.

#### Introduction

The primary purpose of this report is to document the contribution of the health sector in Humboldt County to the local economy. Data presented in this report reveal that the local health care sector has a substantial impact on income, employment, and sales tax revenue in Humboldt County – a contribution often overlooked in public policy discussions of health care costs, access to care, and community benefits. The health sector plays a critical role in local economic development by creating jobs and income for residents of Humboldt County through the normal operations of hospitals and other health-related enterprises. The health sector is also responsible for generating employment and income in other businesses in the community.

Utilizing the most current Nevada Department of Training, Employment and Rehabilitation and IMPLAN data available, the analysis presented in this report indicates that the health sector in Humboldt County employed 372 individuals in 2007. When the employment created by other businesses as a result of the health sector is included in the analysis, the Humboldt County health sector directly and indirectly generated a total of 497 jobs in the county. Similarly, the local health sector generated \$17.7 million in payroll for the year 2007. When the income created by other businesses as a result of the health sector is included in the analysis, the health sector was responsible for \$21.8 million in payroll for health sector employees and those employed in other businesses.

As local and state policymakers consider the medical and health care priorities for rural Nevada, they should bear in mind the importance of hospitals and the health sector to local and regional economies. As this report demonstrates, the Humboldt County health sector provides much more than necessary medical care and services. The jobs, income, and economic benefits created in other businesses, as well as sales tax revenue generated by all sectors represent additional contributions to economic well-being in Humboldt County. Finally, the health care sector's investment in high technology, capital improvements, and new construction continues to generate additional income and employment for the county's economy.

This report – *The Economic Impact of the Local Health Care System on the Humboldt County Economy* – was prepared for the citizens, community leaders, and health care providers of Humboldt County by the Nevada Rural Health Works Program. Rural Health Works is a joint research and policy analysis program of the Nevada Office of Rural Health at the University of Nevada School of Medicine, Nevada Cooperative Extension, and the Center for Economic Development at the University of Nevada, Reno. Over the past decade, Nevada Rural Health Works Program has provided local and state leaders with the information and assistance needed to make the best possible decisions about the role of hospitals and the health sector in economic development. Research undertaken by the Nevada Rural Health Works Program includes community health care needs assessments, budget studies and feasibility assessments, community health planning, market demand studies, and economic impact analysis.

The Economic Impact of the Local Health Care System on the Humboldt County Economy is divided into three major sections. The first section briefly discusses the role of the health sector in rural economic development, highlighting the financial and non-financial linkages between the health sector and the rest of the local economy. The second section provides an overview of the

social, demographic, and economic context of the health sector in Humboldt County. The third section demonstrates the direct and indirect economic impact of the health sector on jobs and payroll in Humboldt County. Utilizing an economic impact model developed specifically for the health care industry, this report provides estimates of the direct economic contribution of hospitals and the health sector, as well as the indirect or secondary income and employment impacts in other businesses resulting from hospital and other health-sector economic activity. The jobs and income generated in other business are estimated with employment and income multipliers derived for Humboldt County and Nevada. The report also contains an appendix that summarizes the model and data used to estimate employment and income multipliers.

#### Rural Health Care and Local Economic Development

Over the past couple of decades, the health sector has become an important engine of economic growth in Humboldt County and rural Nevada. The health sector includes hospitals, clinics, and physician practices, as well as nursing homes, pharmacies, and other providers of medical services and products. The premise of this report is that rural communities and leaders need to improve their understanding of the importance of the health sector to the local economy, including the amount of jobs and payroll it provides, directly and indirectly, and its role in generating additional employment and income to the residents of Humboldt County. The nexus between health care services and rural development is typically overlooked. At least three primary areas of commonality exist. First, a strong health care system can attract and maintain business and industry growth. Second, the existence of quality health care services is a key factor in attracting and retaining retirees. Third, a strong health care system generates jobs and payroll locally and, thus, "keeps health care dollars at home."

#### Local Business and Industry Growth

Research has documented the important role of quality-of-life factors in business and industry location decisions. A key quality-of-life factor is the availability and quality of health care services. The availability of health care services is important for a couple of reasons. First, employees and management may offer strong resistance if they are asked to move into a community with substandard or inconveniently located health services. Second, any business or industry making a location decision wants to ensure that the local labor force will be productive, and a key factor in productivity is good health. Thus, investments in health care services yield dividends in the form of increased labor productivity. Finally, the rising cost of health care services is a key factor considered by business and industry in location decisions. The existence of health care services locally can lower health care costs for business and their employees and provide value-added services for firms such as occupational health.

#### Health Services and Attracting Retirees

A strong and convenient health care system is important to retirees, a special group of residents whose spending and purchasing is a significant source of income for the local economy. Many rural areas have environments (e.g., good climate and outdoor activities) that enable them to be in a good position to attract and retain retirees. The amount of spending by retirees, including the

purchasing power associated with Social Security, Medicare, and other transfer payments, is substantial. Additionally, middle- and upper-income retirees often have substantial net worth. Several studies have indicated that the availability of quality health services, along with safety, housing, and recreation opportunities, was a key predictor of retirement location considerations. In general, like workers, retirees are more likely to choose a retirement location that has access to quality health care.

#### Health Services and Job Growth

A factor important to the success of rural economic development is job creation. From 1970 to 2000, employment in health services in Nevada grew by 53,410 jobs and real payroll increased from approximately \$89 million to \$2.2 billion (2000 dollars). During the same period, employment in the hospital sector in Nevada grew by nearly a factor of 10 to 21,737 jobs and real payroll increased by \$732 million. Over the past thirty years, workers in the health sector and the hospital industry have experienced significant gains in real wages. From 1970 to 2000, the real wage per job in the hospital sector increased by \$13,648 or 68% to \$33,677. The same data indicate that employment in hospital and other medical services has been accompanied by the growth of disproportionately better paying jobs. In general, payroll and employment in the Nevada health care sector, including rural regions of the state such as Humboldt County, have grown consistently over the past thirty years.

More recently, state and federal data indicate that employment and payroll growth in health services and the hospital industry has been robust. For example, from 2003 to 2007, overall hospital employment in Nevada grew by 4,500 jobs or 18%. During the same period, statewide hospital payroll grew in real terms by nearly \$500 million. In general, payroll and employment in the health care sector in both rural and urban counties of Nevada continues to grow. Moreover, the principal demographic factors driving increased demand for hospital care and other health care services – population growth and population aging – are projected to increase substantially over the next two decades.

#### Summary: "Keeping Local Health Care Dollars at Home"

As the preceding suggests, the existence of a strong health care system plays a critical role in local economic development by attracting business, industry and retirees, as well as generating jobs and payroll in its own right. However, the most important economic role the health sector plays in local economic development is "keeping local health care dollars at home." There are many sources of local health care dollars including commercial and private insurance, Medicare, Medicaid and other transfer payments, and consumer out-of-pocket payments to health care providers and businesses. If these expenditures leave the community (e.g., an individual who leaves Humboldt County for medical care that could be obtained locally), they represent a real loss of potential jobs and income to local residents. In other words, payments for health care services and goods outside of the local community not only affect the health services sector, the "leakage" of those dollars out of the community has repercussions for the entire local economy.

Health care employers and employees are important purchasers of goods and services supporting local business and industry. In Humboldt County and most other rural counties of Nevada,

employees in the health service sector realize higher than average wages and are an important segment in local household consumption. Hospitals and other health sector establishments are also important purchasers of local goods and services, such as laundry and waste management, essential to the provision of health care. In summary, the health sector and other businesses that comprise the local economy mutually support one another through purchases and sales. As such, the strength and vitality of the health services sector is a key component of local economic development. The following two sections document the importance of the health services sector in Humboldt County and highlight the economic contributions of the health sector to the local economy.

# Demographic and Economic Context of Health Care in Humboldt County

Tables 1 and 2 provide selected demographic and economic data for Humboldt County and the State of Nevada. Table 1 highlights the population growth experienced by Humboldt County over the past decade, as well as projected population decrease through 2018 based on estimates provided by the Nevada State Demographer's Office. Over the next decade, the population is expected to decrease somewhat, while the state as a whole grows. Furthermore, unlike most rural counties, Humboldt County possesses a lower percentage of residents over the age 65 (10.5%) than the state (11.3%).

Table 1 – Demographic Indicators for Humboldt County and the State of Nevada

Demographic Indicator	Humboldt	State Percent	
	Number	Percent	
Population Growth (1990-2000)	13,020 - 16,197	24.4%	63.7%
Population Growth (2000-2007)	16,197 - 18,052	11.5%	34.3%
<b>Estimated Population Growth (2008-2018)</b>	18,184 - 17,136	-5.8%	25.6%
Population by Race (2007)			
White not of Hispanic Origin	13,474	74.6%	60.6%
Black not of Hispanic Origin	106	0.6%	6.9%
Native American	742	4.1%	1.3%
Other	155	0.9%	6.6%
Hispanic Origin of Any Race	3,576	19.8%	24.5%
Population by Age (2007)			
0-18	5,127	28.4%	26.5%
19-64	11,022	61.1%	62.2%
65 and over	1,903	10.5%	11.3%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data sources, references, and notes for each table are contained in Appendix B.

\_

Table 2 provides an economic snapshot of Humboldt County and comparative data for Nevada and the United States. In general, Humboldt County possesses a lower per capita income and greater reliance on transfer payments as a percent of total personal income as compared to the state but lower reliance as compared to the nation. Table 2 also provides information on transfer payments and public health care enrollment in Humboldt County and Nevada. The percent of county residents in the Medicaid program is lower than the state average (8.0% versus 9.0%). Additionally, a lower percentage of Humboldt County residents (10.8%) are enrolled in the Medicare program than the state as a whole (11.6%).

Table 2 – Economic Indicators for Humboldt County, Nevada and the United States

Indicator	Humboldt County	Nevada	United States
Total Personal Income*	\$532,197	\$97,188,684	\$10,968,393,000
Per Capita Income	\$30,800	\$38,994	\$36,714
Total Earnings*	\$463,910	\$72,588,754	\$8,432,719,000
Poverty Rate	11.4%	10.6%	13.0%
Child Poverty Rate (Ages 0-17)	15.3%	14.9%	18.0%
Employment	7,758	1,271,472	146,047,000
Unemployment	311	64,380	7,078,000
<b>Unemployment Rate</b>	3.9%	4.8%	4.6%
Transfer Payments*	\$60,120	\$10,055,258	\$1,612,935,000
Medical Transfer Payments*	\$21,506	\$3,922,746	\$719,561,000
Transfer Payments (% of Total Personal Income)	11.3%	10.3%	14.7%
Medicare Enrollment	10.8%	11.6%	14.7%
Medicaid Enrollment	8.0%	9.0%	20.0%

<sup>\*</sup>Thousand dollars

# **Impact of the Health Sector on the Humboldt County Economy**

### The Multiplier Effect

The impact of health care expenditures and health care employee expenditures are called multiplier effects. Multiplier effects are a simplified and compact way of representing these effects on the local economy. The multiplier is interpreted as the impact of a one-unit change in sales, employment, or income that results in a corresponding total impact on sales, employment, or income in the larger economy. In essence, the multiplier represents the recycling of dollars and income in a specified geographic unit, such as Humboldt County. This recycling creates new job opportunities and higher wages for individuals.

There are three types of multiplier effects based on the type of economic impact analysis undertaken: direct, indirect, and induced. These types are illustrated in Table 3 below. The *direct multiplier effect* is based on an industry's initial economic impact on the region's economy. For example, if a manufacturing plant has revenue of \$5 million, then this figure becomes the direct

economic impact on the community. The *indirect multiplier effect* is based on industry-to-industry transactions only. For example, the health care sector purchases local laundry, food, and other contracted services. However, the indirect multiplier effect does not include the effect of health-sector employee spending on retail and service sectors such as housing, groceries, and real estate. The *induced multiplier effect* is the response of local industries to this employee spending from both the initial direct effects as well as household purchases induced by the indirect industry-to-industry transactions. The total economic impact is defined as the direct plus indirect and induced economic impacts.

Table 3 – Hospital and Health Care Related Economic Impact Multipliers

Type of Multiplier	Direct	Indirect	Induced
Employment Multiplier	Health care jobs	Health care supplier jobs	Local retail and service jobs related to health care employee spending
Income Multiplier	Health care employee income	Health care supplier employee income	Local retail and service income related employee spending

The direct, indirect, and induced multiplier effects can be classified as employment and income multipliers. An employment multiplier of 2.0 indicates that if one job is created in the health care sector, 1.0 additional jobs are created other sectors due to business and household spending. Likewise, an income multiplier of 2.0 indicates that for every dollar of income created in the health sector, an additional dollar of income is created in other sectors due to inter-industry spending by health businesses and employees. The measurement of multiplier effects, the input-output model, and IMPLAN data utilized in this report are explained in Appendix A.

#### The Impact of the Health Sector on Employment in Humboldt County

Table 4 summarizes the impact of the health sector on employment in Humboldt County utilizing the most current IMPLAN employment multipliers and Nevada Department of Training, Employment and Rehabilitation data for 2007. Table 4 reveals that 372 people were employed in the health sector in Humboldt County in 2007. Applying IMPLAN employment multipliers for the health sector, the total number of jobs created by the health sector was 497. In other words, the Humboldt County health sector generated an additional 125 jobs through induced and indirect economic activity during 2007. Table 4 also highlights the important role of physicians and nursing care within the overall health care sector.

Table 4 – Humboldt County Health Care Sector Impact on County Employment, 2007

Health Care Sector	Employment Impact			Employment	Total Employment
	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Multiplier	Impact
Hospitals	183	27	40	1.37	251
Physicians, Dentists, & Other Professionals	156	16	34	1.32	206
Nursing & Protective Care	4	0	0	1.05	4
Pharmacies	4	0	0	1.11	4
Other Medical & Health Services	25	3	4	1.28	32
Total	372	46	79	1.34	497

# The Impact of the Health Sector on Income in Humboldt County

Table 5 documents the income and payroll impact of the Humboldt County health care sector utilizing the most current IMPLAN employment multipliers and Nevada Department of Training, Employment and Rehabilitation data. In 2007, the total payroll created by the Humboldt County health care sector was \$17,706,000. Applying IMPLAN multipliers, the total payroll created by the Humboldt County health care sector was \$21,829,691. In other words, the Humboldt County

Table 5 – Humboldt County Health Care Sector Impact on County Income, 2007

Health Care Sector	Income Impact			Income	Total Income
meatur Care Sector	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Multiplier	Impact
Hospitals	\$8,977,000	\$899,235	\$1,287,024	1.24	\$11,163,259
Physicians, Dentists, & Other Professionals	\$7,760,000	\$587,797	\$1,087,843	1.22	\$9,435,640
Nursing & Protective Care	\$22,000	\$4,411	\$3,442	1.36	\$29,853
Pharmacies	\$57,000	7,092	\$8,352	1.27	\$72,445
Other Medical & Health Services	\$890,000	\$108,390	\$130,106	1.27	\$1,128,495
Total	\$17,706,000	\$1,606,925	\$2,516,766	1.23	\$21,829,691

health care sector generated an additional \$4,123,691 in payroll in other county businesses and enterprises. Table 5 provides a detailed breakdown of the direct and secondary income and payroll impacts of industries within the health care sector.

# Conclusion: The Economic Contribution of the Health Services Sector in Humboldt County and Rural Nevada

The employment and income data presented in this report document the tremendous contribution of the health care sector to the Humboldt County economy. The health sector in Humboldt County and most regions of Nevada employs a large and growing number of residents and generates a disproportionately large and growing amount of payroll for individuals employed within the health services sector. The health care sector generates additional economic benefits for Humboldt County residents through the creation of jobs and payroll in secondary businesses as health care enterprises purchase and consume local goods and services. Moreover, 17.8% of payroll attributed to the health care sector or \$3.9 million was spent in 2007 on retail sales in Humboldt County, resulting in additional tax revenue for the county government. As the population-driven demand for health care increases over the next decade, the direct and total economic impact of the Humboldt County health services sector on the local economy will continue to grow in magnitude and importance.

# Appendix A: Model and Data Used to Estimate Employment and Income Multipliers<sup>2</sup>

The economic impacts and secondary benefits of economic activity presented in this report are measured by multipliers using an input-output model and data from IMPLAN, a model that is widely used by economists and other academics in the United States. A computer spreadsheet that uses state IMPLAN multipliers was developed to enable community development specialists to measure the secondary benefits of the health sector on state, regional, or county economies. The complete methodology is presented in *Measuring the Economic Importance of the Health Sector on a Local Economy: A Brief Literature Review and Procedures to Measure Local Impacts* (Doeksen et al., 1997).

Input-output (I/O) analysis is designed to analyze the transactions among industries in an economy (Miernyk, 1965). These models are largely based on the work of Wassily Leontief during the 1930s. Detailed I/O analysis captures the indirect and induced interrelated circular behavior of the economy. For example, an increase in the demand for health services requires more equipment, more labor, and more supplies, which, in turn, requires more labor to produce the supplies, and so on. By simultaneously accounting for structural interaction between sectors and industries, I/O analysis gives expression to the general economic equilibrium systems. The analysis utilizes assumptions based on linear and fixed coefficients and limited substitutions among inputs and outputs. The analysis assumes that average and marginal I/O coefficients are equal. Nonetheless, the framework has been widely accepted and used by economists and policymakers. I/O analysis is useful when carefully executed and interpreted in defining the structure of a region, the interdependencies among industries, and forecasting economic outcomes. The I/O model coefficients describe the structural interdependencies of an economy. From the coefficients, various predictive devices can be computed, which can be useful in analyzing economic changes in a state, region, or county. Multipliers indicate the relationship between some observed change in the economy and the total change in economic activity created through the economy.

MicroIMPLAN is a computer program developed by the United States Forest Service to construct I/O accounts and models (Alward et al., 1989). Typically, the complexity of I/O modeling has hindered practitioners from constructing models specific to a community requesting an analysis. Too often, inappropriate multipliers have been used to estimate local economic impacts. In contrast, IMPLAN can construct a model for any state, region, county, or zip code area in the United States by using available state, region, county, or zip code data. Impact analysis can be performed once a regional I/O model is constructed.

Five different sets of multipliers are estimated by IMPLAN, corresponding to five measures of regional economic activity: (1) total industry output, (2) personal income, (3) total income, (4) value added, and (5) employment. Three types of multipliers are generated. Type I multipliers measure the impact in terms of direct and indirect effects. Direct impacts are the changes in the activities of the focus industry or firm, such as the construction of a hospital or the closing of a hospital. The focus business changes its purchases of inputs as a result of the direct impacts. This produces indirect impacts in other business sectors. However, the total impact of a

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The explanation give in this appendix is from Doeksen's 2007 paper "Economic Tools for Rural Health Planning". Some minor modifications have been made to update information about IMPLAN.

change in the economy consists of direct, indirect, and induced changes. Both the direct and indirect impacts change the flow of dollars to the state, region, or county's households. Subsequently, the households alter their consumption. The effect of the changes in household consumption on businesses in a community is referred to as an induced effect. To measure the total impact, a Type II multiplier is used. The Type II multiplier compares direct, indirect, and induced effects with the direct effects generated by a change in final demand (the sum of direct, indirect, and induced effects divided by direct effects). The Type SAM multiplier further modifies the induced effect to include information about other institutions in the model, such as state and local government and investment.

Additional information on the data, methodology, and software requirements of I/O modeling and IMPLAN analysis can be found in guides developed by Doeksen et al., (1997), Alward et al., (1989), and the Minnesota IMPLAN Group (MIG), (2004).

#### **Appendix B: References and Data Sources**

#### References

Alward, G. et al. 1989. *Micro IMPLAN Software Manual*. Stillwater MN: University of Minnesota Press.

Doeksen, G. A. 2007. *Economic Tools for Rural Health Planning*. 51<sup>st</sup> Annual Conference of the Australian Agricultural and Resource Economics Society.

Doeksen, G. A. et al. 1997. *Measuring the Importance of the Health Sector on the Local Economy: A Brief Literature Review and Procedures to Measure Local Impacts*. Mississispii State MS: Southern Rural Development Center. SRDC Publication Number 202.

Miernyk, W.H. 1965. *The Element of Input-Output Analysis*. New York: Random House.

Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc. (MIG). 2004. *User's Guide, Analysis Guide, Data Guide: IMPLAN Professional Version 2.0 Social Accounting and Impact Analysis Software*, Third Edition. Stillwater MN: MIG. <a href="https://www.implan.com">www.implan.com</a>.

#### **Data Sources**

### Table 1. Demographic Indicators for Humboldt County and the State of Nevada

Note: Population by age and race are estimates using Nevada demographer percentage projections applied to 2007 demographer population estimates.

Nevada State Demographer's Office. 2006. "Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin (ASRHO) Estimates from 2000 to 2005 and Projections from 2006 to 2026 for Nevada and Its Counties." <a href="http://www.nsbdc.org/what/data\_statistics/demographer/pubs/docs/2006\_ASRHO\_Summary.pdf">http://www.nsbdc.org/what/data\_statistics/demographer/pubs/docs/2006\_ASRHO\_Summary.pdf</a>

Nevada State Demographer's Office. 2008. "Nevada County Population Estimates July 1, 1986 to July 1, 2008 Includes Cities and Towns."

 $\underline{\text{http://www.nsbdc.org/what/data\_statistics/demographer/pubs/docs/2008\_Nevada\_Population\_Es}\\ timates.pdf$ 

#### Table 2. Economic Indicators for Humboldt County, Nevada and the United States

Note: Percent of population enrolled in Medicaid for United States is for 2005 whereas for Nevada and counties it is for 2007.

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2008. *Medicare State Enrollment*. http://www.cms.hhs.gov/MedicareEnRpts/.

Nevada Department of Employment, Training, and Rehabilitation (DETR). 2008. *Labor Force, Employment, Unemployment, and Unemployment Rates (LAUS)*. www.nevadaworkforce.com.

Nevada Office of Rural Health. 2009. "Nevada Rural and Frontier Health Data Book." University of Nevada School of Medicine.

Regional Economic Information System (REIS), Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), United States Department of Commerce. 2008. <a href="https://www.bea.gov/bea/regional/reis">www.bea.gov/bea/regional/reis</a>.

The Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured. 2008. *State Medicaid Fact Sheets*. http://www.kff.org/mfs/medicaid.jsp?r1=NV&r2=US&x=15&y=12

U.S. Census Bureau. 2008. *Small Area Income & Poverty Estimates*. <a href="http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/saipe/county.html">http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/saipe/county.html</a>.

U.S. Census Bureau. 2008. Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States and States, and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2008. <a href="http://www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-ann-est.html">http://www.census.gov/popest/states/NST-ann-est.html</a>.

*Table 4. Humboldt County Health Care Sector Impact on County Employment, 2007*Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc. (MIG). 2008. "State of Nevada Input-Output Data for 2007."
Stillwater MN: MIG. <a href="https://www.implan.com">www.implan.com</a>

Nevada Department of Employment, Training, and Rehabilitation (DETR). 2008. *Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages*. <a href="https://www.nevadaworkforce.com">www.nevadaworkforce.com</a>.

*Table 5. Humboldt County Health Care Sector Impact on County Income, 2007*Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc. (MIG). 2008. "State of Nevada Input-Output Data for 2007." Stillwater MN: MIG. www.implan.com